

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/889,628	07/19/2001	Joanne Louise Whitaker	CM1993M	7144
27752	7590 12/28/	EXAMINER		
	CTER & GAMBLE	DOUYON, LORNA M		
	TUAL PROPERTY HILL TECHNICAL (	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CEN	TER HILL AVENUE	1751		
CINCINNATI, OH 45224 DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004				4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/889,628	WHITAKER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lorna M. Douyon	1751			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the pro	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  (HS from the mailing date of this communicate  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lion.		
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2004.				
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	• •	is		
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) 1,16-19,21-28 and 32 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdre Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,16-19,21-28 and 32 is/are rejecte Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the l		•	` '		
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been in the learn (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	• •	" <b></b>	(DTO 442)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1751

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on October 15, 2004.

- 2. The objection to the specification is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.
- 3. The rejection of claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 16-19, 25-28 and 32 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,943; claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,544,944; claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,551,981; claim 3 of U.S. Patent No. 6,551,982; or claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,589,932; each in view of Davidson (US Patent No. 3,951,821) is withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment.
- 5. Claims 1, 16-19, 21-28 and 32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,770,616 in view of Davidson.

US Patent No. 6,770,616 teaches a similar tablet having two phases wherein the first phase is formed by compression at a pressure greater than that of at least one second pahse, the tablet comprising polymeric disintegrants and solubility aid comprising hydrated salts as those recited except for the particle size of the polymeric disintegrant as required in the present claims, the first phase having a mould and the child bite strength as that recited.

Art Unit: 1751

Davidson teaches disintegrating agents for tablets wherein the disintegrating agents include cellulose or cellulose acetate hollow fibers having inside diameters on the order of about 5 to about 1000 microns and a tubule length from about 50 microns to 2 to 3 millimeters, the tubules having outside diameters ranging from about 10 to about 50 microns to about 300 to about 1100 microns and axial length is preferably about 100-1000 microns (see abstract; col. 1, line 60 to col. 2, line 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reasonably expect the polymeric disintegrants of US '616 to have a particle size within those recited because it is shown by Davidson that polymeric disintegrating agents for tablets have sizes which overlap those recited. With respect to the to the first phase having a mould, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare the first phase with a mould because this is just a matter of obvious design choice. With respect to the child bite strength property of the tablet it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reasonably expect said property to be within those recited because similar tablets with similar ingredients have been utilized.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,628 Page 4

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751